This article was downloaded by: On: 22 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



# Journal of Asian Natural Products Research

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713454007

# Synthesis and MDR inhibitory activity evaluation of derivatives of

schizandrin A

Xiao-Xia Liang<sup>a</sup>; Geng-Tao Liu<sup>b</sup>; Qiao-Hong Chen<sup>a</sup>; Hua Sun<sup>b</sup>; Dong-Lin Chen<sup>a</sup>; Feng-Peng Wang<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry of Medicinal Natural Products, West China College of Pharmacy, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China <sup>b</sup> Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China

Online publication date: 13 July 2010

To cite this Article Liang, Xiao-Xia , Liu, Geng-Tao , Chen, Qiao-Hong , Sun, Hua , Chen, Dong-Lin and Wang, Feng-Peng(2010) 'Synthesis and MDR inhibitory activity evaluation of derivatives of schizandrin A', Journal of Asian Natural Products Research, 12: 7, 549 - 556

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/10286020.2010.484387 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10286020.2010.484387

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# Synthesis and MDR inhibitory activity evaluation of derivatives of schizandrin A

Xiao-Xia Liang<sup>a</sup>, Geng-Tao Liu<sup>b</sup>, Qiao-Hong Chen<sup>a</sup>, Hua Sun<sup>b</sup>, Dong-Lin Chen<sup>a</sup> and Feng-Peng Wang<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry of Medicinal Natural Products, West China College of Pharmacy, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China; <sup>b</sup>Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing 100050, China

(Received 28 December 2009; final version received 7 April 2010)

Eighteen schizandrin A derivatives, possessing an acyl group at 7-OH and/or halogen(s) at C-4 and C-11, were designed and synthesized for evaluation of their *in vitro* ability to inhibit multidrug resistance (MDR). They exhibit weak ability to restore the intracellular Rhodamine 123 in human hepatocarcinoma MDR cell lines Bel7402 and HCT8 relative to the reference drug verapamil.

Keywords: schizandrin A; lignans; multidrug resistance inhibitory activity

#### 1. Introduction

Multidrug resistance (MDR) constitutes a major limitation in current cancer chemotherapy. Overexpression of P-glycoprotein (P-gp) and MDR-associated protein 1 (MRP1) account for the majority of cases of MDR of cancer cells [1-4]. Both of them are ATP-dependent multidrug transporters [5], displaying cross-resistance to many different anti-cancer drugs [6–8]. However, P-gp transports hydrophobic substrates; while MRP1 targets hydrophilic molecules [9-11].

Numerous compounds have already been discovered to overcome MDR by restoring the intracellular accumulation of antitumor agents in resistant cells. A few of them are currently under clinical evaluation. However, currently available MDR reversal agents still have some limitations including high toxicity, low inhibitory activity, and/or some side effects. The first generation of them (e.g. verapamil and cyclosporine A) modulates MDR at very high concentration with enhanced toxicity to normal cells. The second generation of them (e.g dexniguldipine [12] and dexverapamil [13]) showed more selectivity against P-gp but not more potency. GG918 [14] and PSC833 [15] display a stronger activity compared to cyclosporin A [16], but they can intensify the toxic side effects of anticancer drugs by blocking their metabolizing enzymes or interacting with other drug efflux transporters. Therefore, searching for more potent MDR inhibitors with less toxicity or without side effect is still in need.

It was reported [5,17-19] that schizandrins A (1) and B (2), and gomisin A (3), dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans in *Schisandra chinensis* (Turcz.) Baill, are potent

ISSN 1028-6020 print/ISSN 1477-2213 online © 2010 Taylor & Francis DOI: 10.1080/10286020.2010.484387 http://www.informaworld.com

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email: wfp@scu.edu.cn



2







1



Structure	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>		R <sub>1</sub>	<b>R</b> <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>
5	Н	Cl	Cl	14	Н	Br	Br
6	Н	Cl	Н	15	O=CCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	Н	Н
7	Ac	Н	Н	16	O=CCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	Br	Br
8	Ac	Cl	Cl	17	O=CCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	Cl	Cl
9	Ac	Br	Н	18	O=CCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	Cl	Н
10	Н	Br	Н	19	O=CCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	Н	Cl
11	Ac	Н	Br	20	O=CCH2CH2CH3	Н	Н
12	Н	Н	Br	21	O=CCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	Br	Br
13	Ac	Br	Br	22	O=CCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	Н	Cl

Figure 1. The structures of schizandrin A (4) and its analogs.

inhibitors of P-gp. In addition, the crude extract of Fructus schisandrae [20], with schizandrin A (4) as a major constituent, also exhibits good activity against P-gp. Therefore, the MDR inhibitory activity of 4 and its analogs is merited for further investigation. The rational for the design of the derivatives of 4 was based on the expectation that the introduction of an acyl group at 7-OH and/or attachment of halogen(s) at C-4 and C-11 may provide a

new class of compounds with MDR inhibitory activity.

#### **Results and discussion** 2.

Eighteen derivatives (see structures in Figure 1) were prepared from 4. Esterification of 7-OH of schizandrin A with Ac<sub>2</sub>O, propanoic anhydride, or butanoic anhydride provided respective esters 7, 15, and 20. Fifteen halides were generated by reaction of 4, 7, 15, or 20 with

5	5	1
J	J	T

	Rhodamine 123 ratio	accumulation
Compound	Bel7402	HCT8
5	$1.21 \pm 0.14$	$1.29 \pm 0.03$
6	$0.94 \pm 0.08$	$1.00 \pm 0.07$
7	$1.05 \pm 0.04$	$1.03 \pm 0.06$
8	$1.26 \pm 0.37$	$1.41 \pm 0.10$
9	$1.53 \pm 0.11$	$1.44 \pm 0.10$
10	$0.98 \pm 0.02$	$1.25 \pm 0.07$
11	$1.16 \pm 0.11$	$1.05 \pm 0.10$
12	$0.93 \pm 0.04$	$1.06 \pm 0.12$
13	$1.46 \pm 0.24$	$1.35 \pm 0.08$
14	$1.22 \pm 0.10$	$1.08 \pm 0.02$
15	$0.99 \pm 0.17$	$1.06 \pm 0.06$
16	$1.55 \pm 0.09$	$1.27 \pm 0.01$
17	$1.35 \pm 0.01$	$1.26 \pm 0.10$
18	$1.22 \pm 0.09$	$1.38 \pm 0.14$
19	$1.14 \pm 0.09$	$1.05 \pm 0.01$
20	$1.44 \pm 0.19$	$1.52 \pm 0.27$
21	$1.35 \pm 0.04$	$1.32 \pm 0.04$
22	$1.09 \pm 0.17$	$1.17 \pm 0.04$
Verapamil	$2.12 \pm 0.01$	_

Table 1. Effects of compounds 5-22 (10  $\mu$ M) on the accumulation of Rhodamine 123 in Bel7402 and HCT8 cells.

Notes: Data are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard error (SE) of the mean.

*N*-chlorosuccinimide (NCS) or *N*-bromosuccinimide (NBS) to introduce one or two halogen(s) at C-4 or/and C-11.

The MDR inhibitory activity of these lignans (5–22) was evaluated by testing their ability to restore the intracellular accumulation of Rhodamine 123, a fluorescent substrate of P-gp. As shown in Table 1, these derivatives exhibit weak ability to restore the intracellular accumulation of Rhodamine 123 in human hepatocarcinoma MDR cell lines Bel7402 and HCT8 relative to the reference drug verapamil. Among them, compound **9**, 7-*O*-acetyl-4-bromo-schizandrin A, is the most potent MDR blocker.

## 3. Experimental

### 3.1 General experimental procedures

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were acquired on a Bruker AC-E 200 (<sup>13</sup>C NMR) or a Varian INOVA-400/54 (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) spectrometer in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, with TMS as an internal standard; mass spectra were obtained with a Finnigan LCQ and Micromass Auto Spec Ultima-Tof spectrometer; silica GF254 and gel H (10– 40  $\mu$ m, Qingdao Sea Chemical Factory, Qingdao, China) were used for TLC and CC. The starting material schizandrin A was isolated from *S. chinensis* (Turcz.) Baill. Human hepatocarcinoma MDR cell lines Bel7402 and HCT8 were provided by the Graduate Institute of Medicine in Beijing, China.

# 3.2 Synthesis of the derivatives of schizandrin

### 3.2.1 Chlorides 5 and 6

Oxalyl chloride (0.02 ml, 0.21 mmol) in 1 ml dichloromethane and DMSO (0.02 ml, 0.28 mmol) was added to 4 (30 mg, 0.07 mmol) in 1 ml dichloromethane at  $-40^{\circ}$ C. The mixture was stirred for 5 h prior to the addition of Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.1 ml, 0.7 mmol) and was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The extract was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether-EtOAc, 7:1) to give 5 (5 mg, 14%) and 6 (16 mg, 49%). 5: Mp 38–39°C;  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20} - 48.4$  (c = 1.0, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.87 (3H, d,  $J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 8-\text{CH}_3$ , 1.33 (3H, s, 7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.94 (1H, m, 8-H), 2.58, 2.97 (each 1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz), 2.70 (1H, dd, J = 14.4, 1.2 Hz), 3.10 (1H, dd, J = 14.4, 8.4 Hz), 3.47, 3.60, 3.92, 3.93, 3.96, 3.97 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub> × 6). HR-ESI-MS m/z: 523.1268  $[M+Na]^+$  (calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>30</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>NaO<sub>7</sub>, 523.1261). **6**: Mp 39–41°C;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 22.4$  $(c = 1.0, CH_2Cl_2); {}^{1}H NMR \delta 0.81 (3H, d,$  $J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 8-\text{CH}_3$ , 1.33 (3H, s, 7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.87 (1H, m, 8-H), 2.38 (1H, dd, *J* = 14.0, 7.6 Hz), 2.53 (1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz), 2.87 (1H, dd, J = 14.0, 1.2 Hz), 2.93 (1H, d,J = 14.0 Hz, 3.51, 3.62, 3.87, 3.89, 3.93,  $3.97 (s, OCH_3 \times 6), 6.55 (1H, s, 11-H); {}^{13}C$ NMR spectral data see Table 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 489.1653  $[M+Na]^+$  (calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>31</sub>ClNaO<sub>7</sub>, 489.1651).

(200 MHz).
6-22
of compounds
data
spectral
NMR
<sup>13</sup> C
Table 2.

Downloaded At: 18:23 22 January 2011

No.	6	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
-	152.2	151.7	150.2	152.2	152.0	152.3	152.7	152.3	151.0	151.8	150.9	150.2	152.2	152.0	151.7	150.9	152.1
2	145.3	133.7	145.2	140.2	140.0	144.8	144.7	144.8	145.1	140.2	145.0	145.0	145.1	149.4	140.1	145.0	145.0
3	149.3	151.6	149.5	150.7	151.4	151.2	151.2	150.8	150.5	151.6	150.5	149.8	151.3	150.7	151.6	150.5	149.1
4	127.9	110.1	127.0	110.3	110.5	116.0	116.1	116.2	116.2	110.3	117.3	125.3	110.4	127.0	110.3	117.3	127.3
5	134.2	132.3	132.5	133.5	134.1	134.6	134.6	134.2	134.9	133.7	134.2	132.6	133.6	132.9	133.7	134.2	133.5
9	33.9	34.7	29.7	34.4	33.7	32.5	32.3	32.5	31.3	34.5	32.0	29.4	34.5	29.2	38.0	38.0	36.4
7	73.2	84.9	84.2	84.1	73.0	85.1	72.0	84.3	73.1	84.8	83.6	83.8	83.9	84.4	84.8	83.8	83.9
8	41.9	38.3	36.5	35.5	40.8	39.1	42.3	36.7	41.6	38.5	26.5	36.4	35.6	39.4	38.1	36.3	35.3
6	38.5	38.8	36.5	38.9	38.8	38.7	41.1	39.0	38.7	38.8	38.8	36.4	36.5	38.6	38.9	38.7	38.0
10	132.1	132.3	131.1	132.9	133.5	133.7	131.9	132.9	133.5	132.4	132.9	131.3	131.4	132.3	132.4	132.9	131.4
11	110.7	109.9	126.9	117.0	116.2	110.1	109.8	116.2	116.2	110.3	116.3	124.2	127.4	110.3	110.1	116.2	110.3
12	151.4	140.1	149.8	150.5	150.1	150.5	150.4	151.5	150.7	151.3	150.2	149.5	150.2	151.1	151.1	150.5	150.1
13	140.2	133.7	145.0	144.9	145.0	140.5	140.7	145.1	144.6	140.2	144.8	145.2	149.1	144.8	140.1	144.8	140.7
14	150.8	151.7	149.8	151.1	151.4	151.5	151.7	150.6	151.0	151.6	150.8	149.9	152.2	152.0	151.6	150.8	151.2
15	124.1	122.6	124.1	127.6	128.0	127.3	123.8	127.3	126.9	122.9	127.2	126.9	122.7	123.1	122.9	127.1	122.6
16	122.6	123.5	125.1	122.9	122.7	127.2	127.0	127.5	127.6	123.5	127.5	127.0	125.3	123.8	123.4	127.4	125.3
7-Me	31.3	24.5	25.2	25.8	31.1	22.9	29.2	25.0	30.9	22.8	25.6	25.6	25.7	24.8	24.9	25.8	26.0
8-Me	15.4	15.3	13.4	15.3	15.1	13.3	15.2	13.5	12.8	15.3	13.2	13.1	15.5	12.6	13.6	13.6	13.6
OMe	61.3	60.8	61.1	61.1	61.1	61.3	61.0	61.1	61.1	60.9	61.1	61.1	61.1	61.1	60.8	61.1	61.2
OMe	61.2	60.8	61.1	60.9	60.9	61.2	60.9	61.0	61.1	60.9	61.1	61.1	60.9	61.0	60.8	61.0	60.9
OMe	61.0	60.4	61.0	60.8	60.9	61.0	60.8	60.8	60.9	60.6	60.8	61.0	60.8	60.8	60.8	60.8	60.9
OMe	60.9	60.4	61.0	60.7	60.7	60.7	60.6	60.8	60.9	60.6	60.8	60.9	60.6	60.6	60.5	60.8	60.7
OMe	60.7	55.7	60.7	60.6	60.5	60.7	55.9	60.6	60.8	55.9	60.7	60.7	55.9	55.7	55.8	60.6	60.7
OMe	56.0	55.6	60.7	55.8	55.8	55.9	55.9	60.6	60.6	55.7	60.7	60.7	55.7	55.7	55.6	60.6	55.8
000	I	170.6	169.9	170.1	I	170.8	I	169.9	I	173.9	173.2	173.1	173.1	173.8	173.1	172.4	172.6
$COCH_2$	Ι	Ι	I	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	30.0	29.2	29.1	29.1	29.1	34.5	31.7	34.3
$CH_2CH_2$	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	16.3	18.3	18.3
$(CO)CH_2CH_3$	I	22.7	22.5	22.7	I	22.8	I	22.6	I	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.2	9.0	15.3	13.1	15.2

#### 3.2.2 Compound 7

To a solution of 4 (500 mg, 1.15 mmol) in 5 ml of chloroform, Ac<sub>2</sub>O (0.5 ml) and p-TsOH (200 mg, 1.16 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature overnight, neutralized with concentrated NH<sub>4</sub>OH solution, and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether-EtOAc, 5:1) to furnish 7 (350 mg, 64%). Mp 121-122°C;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} + 88.1 (c = 1.0, CH_2Cl_2); {}^{1}H NMR \delta$  $0.81 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, 8-CH_3), 1.60 (3H, s, s)$ 7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.88 (3H, s, OCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.33 (1H, m, 8-H), 2.37 (1H, d, J = 13.6 Hz), 2.55 (1H, d, J = 13.6 Hz), 2.82 (1H, d,J = 12.4 Hz), 3.00 (1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz), 3.56, 3.57, 3.87, 3.87, 3.89, 3.89 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub> × 6), 6.52 (1H, s, 11-H), 6.66 (1H, s, 4-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data see Table 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z 497.2132 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>34</sub>NaO<sub>8</sub>, 497.2146).

#### 3.2.3 Compound 8

To compound 7(50 mg, 0.1 mmol) in 2 ml of glacial HOAc, NCS (25 mg, 0.185 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 40 h, neutralized with concentrated NH<sub>4</sub>OH solution, and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether-EtOAc, 5:1) of the residue furnished 8 (40 mg, 70%). Mp 46–48°C;  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20} = 55.8$  $(c = 1.0, CH_2Cl_2);$  <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta 0.89$  (3H, d,  $J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 8\text{-CH}_3$ , 1.57 (3H, s, 7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.85 (3H, s, OCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.78 (1H, m, 8-H), 2.46 (1H, dd, J = 14.8, 6.0 Hz), 2.52 (1H, d,J = 14.4 Hz, 3.09 (1H, dd, J = 14.4, 8.4 Hz), 3.33 (1H, d, J = 14.4 Hz), 3.54, 3.58, 3.92, 3.94, 3.95, 3.96 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub> × 6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data see Table 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 565.1356  $[M+Na]^+$ (calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>NaO<sub>8</sub>, 565.1366).

#### 3.2.4 Bromides 9, 11, and 13

To a solution of 7 (140 mg, 0.29 mmol) in 5 ml glacial HOAc, NBS (55 mg, 0.31 mmol) was added. After stirring for

15 min, a general work-up as described for the synthesis of 8 and the column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether-EtOAc, 15:1) gave 9 (60 mg, 37%), 11 (50 mg, 31%), and 13 (20 mg, 11%). 9: Mp 145–147°C;  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20} - 6.0$  (c = 1.0, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.84 (3H, d,  $J = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, 8\text{-CH}_3), 1.66 (3H, s, 7\text{-CH}_3),$ 1.86 (3H, s, OCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.80 (1H, m, 8-H), 2.34 (1H, dd, J = 14.0, 8.0 Hz), 2.61 (1H, d, J) $J = 14.0 \,\mathrm{Hz}$ , 2.70 (1H, dd, J = 14.0, 2.0 Hz), 3.23 (1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz), 3.56, 3.59, 3.87 3.89, 3.95, 3.95 (s,  $OCH_3 \times 6$ ), 6.52 (1H, s, 11-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data see Table 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 575.1233  $[M+Na]^+$  (calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>33</sub>BrNaO<sub>8</sub>, 575.1251). 11: Mp 141–143°C;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} + 10.1 \ (c = 1.0, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2); {}^{1}\text{H NMR}$  $\delta 0.84$  (3H, d, J = 9.2 Hz, 8-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.57 (3H, s, 7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.87 (3H, s, OCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.31 (1H, m, 8-H), 2.60 (1H, d, J = 13.6 Hz), 2.70 (1H, dd, J = 14.8, 7.6 Hz), 3.08 (1H, d, $J = 14.0 \,\text{Hz}$ ), 3.13 (1H, dd, J = 14.8, 9.2 Hz), 3.52, 3.55, 3.87, 3.87, 3.92, 3.94  $(s, OCH_3 \times 6), 6.65 (1H, s, 4-H).$ <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data see Table 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 575.1235  $[M+Na]^+$  (calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>33</sub> BrNaO<sub>8</sub>, 575.1251). 13: Mp 147-149°C;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} - 70.6 (c = 1.0, CH_2Cl_2); {}^{1}H NMR \delta$  $0.90(3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, 8-CH_3), 1.58(3H, s,$ 7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.87 (3H, s, OCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.78 (1H, m, 8-H), 2.56 (1H, dd, J = 15.2, 2.0 Hz), 2.61 (1H, d, J = 14.8 Hz), 3.13 (1H, dd, J = 14.8,8.8 Hz), 3.36 (1H, d, J = 14.4 Hz), 3.53, 3.57, 3.92, 3.93, 3.94, 3.95 (s,  $OCH_3 \times 6$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data see Table 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 653.0335  $[M+Na]^+$  (calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>NaO<sub>8</sub>, 653.0356).

#### 3.2.5 Compound 10

Hydrolysis of **9** (120 mg, 0.21 mmol) in 10% KOH methanol (60°C, 6 h). The mixture was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, CHCl<sub>3</sub>–MeOH, 97:3) to give **10** (80 mg, 73%). Mp 138–139°C;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 8.5$ (c = 1.0, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.80 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, 8-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.35 (3H, s, 7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.88 (1H, m, 8-H), 2.38 (1H, dd, J = 14.0, 7.6 Hz), 2.63 (1H, d, J = 13.6 Hz,), 2.88 (1H, dd, J = 14.0, 1.6 Hz), 2.96 (1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz), 3.51, 3.61, 3.87, 3.89, 3.93, 3.96 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub> × 6), 6.55 (1H, s, 11-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data see Table 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 533.1136 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>31</sub> BrNaO<sub>7</sub>, 533.1145).

### 3.2.6 Compound 12

This compound (10 mg, 56%) was prepared from **11**(20 mg, 0.036 mmol) employing a similar procedure as described for the synthesis of **10**. Mp 140–141°C;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 9.6$  (c = 1.0, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.89 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, 8-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.25 (3H, s, 7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.92 (1H, m, 8-H), 2.40 (1H, d, J = 13.6 Hz), 2.55 (1H, dd, J = 14.8, 1.6 Hz), 2.73 (1H, d, J = 13.6 Hz), 3.13 (1H, dd, J = 14.8, 8.2 Hz), 3.54, 3.58, 3.88, 3.91, 3.92, 3.94 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub> × 6), 6.60 (1H, s, 4-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data see Table 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 533.1130 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>31</sub>BrNaO<sub>7</sub>, 533.1145).

# 3.2.7 Compound 14

This compound (28 mg, 60%) was prepared from **13** (50 mg, 0.079 mmol) by a similar procedure as described for the synthesis of **10**. Mp 139–140°C;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 54.9$  (c = 1.0, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.89 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, 8-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.34 (3H, s, 7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.96 (1H, m, 8-H), 2.69 (1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz), 2.80 (1H, d, J = 14.4 Hz), 3.00 (1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz), 3.13 (1H, dd, J = 14.4, 8.2 Hz), 3.46, 3.60, 3.92, 3.92, 3.95, 3.96 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub> × 6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data see Table 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 611.0250 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>30</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>NaO<sub>7</sub>, 611.0250).

# 3.2.8 Compound 15

Using the similar procedure as described for the synthesis of **7**, compound **15** (10 mg, 29%) was synthesized by esterification of **4** (30 mg, 0.069 mmol) with propanoic anhydride (0.05 ml). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether–EtOAc, 7:1) to give **15**. Mp 122–124°C;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  + 60.9 (c = 1.0, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.83 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, 8-CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.97 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, O=C–CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.60 (3H, s, 7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.13 (2H, m, O=C–*CH*<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.38 (1H, m, 8-H), 2.36 (1H, d, J = 11.2 Hz), 2.57 (1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz), 2.83 (1H, d, J = 12.4 Hz), 2.98 (1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz), 3.56, 3.56, 3.86, 3.87, 3.87, 3.89 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub> × 6), 6.52 (1H, s, 11-H), 6.65 (1H, s, 4-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data see Table 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 511.2288 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NaO<sub>8</sub>, 511.2302).

## 3.2.9 Compound 16

This compound (20 mg, 61%) was prepared by reaction of 15 (25 mg, 0.05 mmol) in glacial HOAc with NBS (20 mg, 0.11 mmol) as described for the synthesis of 9. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether-EtOAc, 9:1) to furnish 16. Mp 131–133°C;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 81.0$  (c = 1.0, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.91 (3H, d,  $J = 7.6 \,\mathrm{Hz}, 8-\mathrm{CH}_3), 0.91$ (3H, t,  $J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, O = C - CH_2 CH_3$ , 1.65 (3H, s, 7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.15 (2H, m, O=C-*CH*<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.86 (1H, m, 8-H), 2.65 (1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz, 2.57 (1H, dd, J = 14.4, 2.0 Hz), 3.32 (1H, d, J = 14.4 Hz), 3.13 (1H, dd, J = 14.4, 8.4 Hz), 3.53, 3.57,3.92, 3.92, 3.94, 3.96 (s,  $OCH_3 \times 6$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data see Table 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 667.0493  $[M+Na]^+$ (calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>34</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>NaO<sub>8</sub>, 667.0513).

# 3.2.10 Compounds 17 and 18

17 (12 mg, 52%) and 18 (6 mg, 29%) were prepared by reaction of 15 (20 mg, 0.04 mmol) in glacial HOAc with NCS (20 mg, 0.15 mmol) as described for the synthesis of 8. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether–EtOAc, 10:1) to give 17 and 18. 17: Mp 55–57°C;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 60.2$ (c = 1.0, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.90 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, 8-CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.92 (3H, t,  $J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, O = C - CH_2 CH_3$ , 1.61 (3H, s, 7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.13 (2H, m,  $O = C - CH_2CH_3$ ), 2.85 (1H, m, 8-H), 2.48 (1H, dd, J = 14.4, 2.0 Hz), 2.55 (1H, d, J = 14.4 Hz), 3.10 (1H, dd, J = 14.8, 8.8 Hz), 3.30 (1H, d,J = 14.0 Hz, 3.54, 3.57, 3.93, 3.93, 3.95, 3.97 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub>  $\times$  6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data see Table 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 579.1515  $[M+Na]^+$  (calcd for  $C_{27}H_{34}Cl_2$ NaO<sub>8</sub>, 579.1523). 18: Mp 50-51°C;  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20} + 18.4$  (c = 1.0, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.84 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, 8-CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.91 (3H, t, J = 7.6 Hz, O=C-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.65 (3H, s, 7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.14 (2H, m,  $O = C - CH_2CH_3$ , 2.80 (1H, m, 8-H), 2.34 (1H, dd, J = 14.0, 8.0 Hz), 2.51 (1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz), 2.70 (1H, dd,J = 14.0, 2.0 Hz), 3.22 (1H, d. J = 14.0 Hz, 3.54, 3.58, 3.92, 3.94, 3.95, 3.96 (s,  $OCH_3 \times 6$ ), 6.52 (1H, s, 11-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data see Table 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 545.1892 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>35</sub>ClNaO<sub>8</sub>, 545.1913).

#### 3.2.11 Compound 19

This compound (8 mg, 38%) was prepared by reaction of 15 (20 mg, 0.04 mmol) in glacial HOAc with NCS (8 mg, 0.06 mmol) as described for the synthesis of 8. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether-EtOAc, 10:1) to give **19**. Mp 51–53°C;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$  + 11.1  $(c = 1.0, CH_2Cl_2);$  <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta 0.83$  (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, 8-CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.96 (3H, t, J = 7.6 Hz, O=C-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.60 (3H, s, 7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.12 (2H, m, O=C-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.38 (1H, m, 8-H), 2.63 (1H, d, J = 13.6 Hz), 2.64 (1H, dd, J = 14.8, 0.8 Hz), 3.03 (1H, d, J = 14.4 Hz), 3.10 (1H, dd, J = 14.8, 9.2 Hz), 3.53, 3.54, 3.86, 3.87, 3.92, 3.95  $(s, OCH_3 \times 6), 6.66 (1H, s, 4-H); {}^{13}C NMR$ spectral data see Table 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 545.1911  $[M+Na]^+$  (calcd for  $C_{27}H_{35-}$ ClNaO<sub>8</sub>, 545.1913).

#### 3.2.12 Compound 20

Using the similar procedure as described for the synthesis of 7, compound 20

(210 mg, 60%) was synthesized by the esterification of 4 (300 mg, 0.69 mmol) with butanoic anhydride (0.3 ml) as described for the synthesis of 7. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether-EtOAc, 7:1) to give 20. Mp 127-128°C;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} + 52.5 \ (c = 1.0, CH_{2}Cl_{2}); {}^{1}H NMR$  $\delta$  0.82 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, 8-CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.84  $(3H, t, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, O = C - CH_2 CH_2 CH_3),$ 1.47 (2H, m, O=C-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.10 (2H, m, O=C-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.57 (3H, s, 7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.37 (hidden, 8-H), 2.37 (1H, d, J = 12.4 Hz), 2.57 (1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz), 2.82 (1H, d, J = 12.0 Hz), 2.97 (1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz), 3.56, 3.57, 3.87, 3.87, 3.87, 3.89 (s,  $OCH_3 \times 6$ ), 6.52 (1H, s, 11-H), 6.65 (1H, s, 4-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data see Table 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 525.2461  $[M+Na]^+$  (calcd for  $C_{28}H_{38}NaO_8$ , 525.2464).

#### 3.2.13 Compound 21

Employing the similar procedure as described for the synthesis of 16, compound 21 (35 mg, 53%) was prepared from 20 (50 mg, 0.085 mmol). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether-EtOAc, 40:1) to give **21**. Mp 148–149°C;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 62.3$  $(c = 1.0, CH_2Cl_2);$  <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta 0.91$  (3H, d,  $J = 6.8 \,\mathrm{Hz}, 8-\mathrm{CH}_3), 0.80 \,(3\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t})$  $J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, \text{ O}=C-CH_2CH_2CH_3), 1.38$ (2H, m, O=C-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.10 (2H, m, O=C-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.65 (3H, s, 7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.90 (1H, m, 8-H), 2.58 (1H, dd, J = 14.8, 2.0 Hz), 2.67 (1H, d,  $J = 14.0 \,\text{Hz}$ ), 3.13 (1H, dd, J = 14.4, 8.4 Hz), 3.30 (1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz), 3.52, 3.57, 3.92, 3.92, 3.94, 3.96 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub> × 6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data see Table 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 681.0644  $[M+Na]^+$ (calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>36</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>NaO<sub>8</sub>, 681.0669).

#### 3.2.14 Compound 22

This compound (40 mg, 76%) was prepared by reaction of **20** (50 mg, 0.085 mmol) in HOAc with NCS (12 mg, 0.09 mmol) as described for the synthesis of 8. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether-EtOAc, 20:1) to give 22. Mp 49-51°C;  $[\alpha] - 7.5 \ (c = 1.0, \text{ CH}_2\text{Cl}_2);$  <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  $0.83 (3H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, 8-CH_3), 0.80 (3H, 3H)$ t, J = 7.2 Hz, O=C-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.38  $(2H, m, O = C - CH_2CH_2CH_3), 2.09$  $(2H, m, O = C - CH_2 CH_2 CH_3), 1.64$ (3H, s, 7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.84 (1H, m, 8-H), 2.34 (1H, dd, J = 14.0, 8.0 Hz), 2.51(1H, d, $J = 14.0 \,\text{Hz}$ ), 2.70 (1H, d,  $J = 14.4 \,\text{Hz}$ ), 3.21 (1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz), 3.55, 3.60, 3.87,3.89, 3.94, 3.96 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub> × 6), 6.52 (1H, s, 11-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data see Table 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 559.2051  $[M+Na]^+$ (calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>37</sub>ClNaO<sub>8</sub>, 559.2069).

# 3.3 Measurement of the cellular accumulation of Rhodamine 123

The accumulation of Rhodamine 123 in Bel7402 and HCT8 cells was measured as described previously [17]. Briefly, cells (Bel7402 and HCT8) in 3.5 cm petri dishes were incubated with Rhodamine 123 (final concentration 250 ng/ml) in the absence or the presence of tested compounds (10 µM) for 30 min at 37°C. After incubation, the extracellular Rhodamine 123 was removed through washing with ice-cold PBS twice. The intracellular Rhodamine 123 was determined under laser scanning confocal microscope. A minimum of 50 cells was analyzed for each sample and five random sights were observed. The data were analyzed by computer programs to get the equal fluorescence intensity.

#### Acknowledgement

We are grateful to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 30630069) for financial support of this research.

#### References

- [1] M.M. Gottesman, T. Fojo, and S.E. Bates, *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2**, 48 (2002).
- [2] D.W. Loe, R.G. Deeley, and S.P. Cole, *Eur. J. Cancer* 32, 945 (1996).
- [3] Y. Pommier, O. Sordet, S. Antony, R.L. Harward, and K.W. Kohn, *Oncogene* 23, 2934 (2004).
- [4] A. Varadi, G. Szakacs, E. Bakos, and B.P. Sarkadi, *Novartis Found. Symp.* 243, 54 (2002).
- [5] L. Li, Q. Pan, M. Sun, Q. Lu, and X. Hu, *Life Sci.* 80, 741 (2007).
- [6] J.A. Endicott and V. Ling, Ann. Rev. Biochem. 58, 137 (1989).
- [7] C.E. Herzog, M. Tsokos, S.E. Bates, and A.T. Fojo, J. Biol. Chem. 268, 2946 (1993).
- [8] V. Ling, Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 40, S3 (1997).
- [9] A.H. Schinkel and J.W. Jonker, Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 55, 3 (2003).
- [10] B.G. Campling, L.C. Young, K.A. Baer, Y.M. Lam, R.G. Deeley, S.P. Cole, and G.H. Gerlach, *Clin. Cancer Res.* **3**, 115 (1997).
- [11] D.R. Hipfner, R.G. Deeley, and S.P. Cole, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1461**, 359 (1999).
- [12] V. Nuessler, M.E. Scheulen, R. Oberneder, M. Kriegmair, K.J. Goebel, F. Rathgeb, W. Wurst, K. Zech, and W. Wilmanns, *Eur. J. Med. Res.* 2, 55 (1997).
- [13] W.H. Wilson, C. Jamis-Dow, G. Bryant, F.M. Balis, R.W. Klecker, S.E. Bates, B.A. Chabner, S.M. Steinberg, D.R. Kohler, and R.E. Wittes, J. Clin. Oncol. 13, 1985 (1995).
- [14] F. Hyafil, C. Vergely, P. DuVignaud, and T. Grand-Perret, *Cancer Res.* 53, 4595 (1993).
- [15] D. Boesch, C. Gaveriaux, B. Jachez, A. Pourtier-Manzanedo, P. Bollinger, and F. Loor, *Cancer Res.* 51, 4226 (1991).
- [16] A. Ramu, R. Spanier, H. Rahamimoff, and Z. Fuks, *Br. J. Cancer* **50**, 501 (1984).
- [17] G.T. Liu, M. Huang, J. Jin, H.L. Wei, and H. Sun, Chinese Patent, 03134648.0.
- [18] H. Xun, Chinese Patent, 200410059607.3.
- [19] W. Chi-keug, G.Y. Zhu, X.L. Shen, C. Apurba, S. Dey, and W.F. Fong, *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **72**, 824 (2006).
- [20] H. Xun, Chinese Patent 200410067347.4.